UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FREDERICK N. BURGENER	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 07-cv-05160 HRL
v. UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s)/	
Counsel report that they have met and following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	ing ADR process:
appreciably more likely to meet their needs to ADR phone conference and may not file this ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rul Private Process:	(E) (ADR L.R. 5) Ilement conference with a Magistrate Judge is shan any other form of ADR, must participate in an storm. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
* *	
Dated: 2/19/08	/s/ Steven L. Herrick
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 2/19/08	/s/ Stephanie L. Quinn Attorney for Defendant

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED).
------------------	----

Dated:	

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
FREDERICK N. BURGENER,		
Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 5:07-cv-05160-HRL	
-against-		
UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION,		
Defendant		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 19, 2008, I caused to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the District Court the Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Selecting ADR Process in the above referenced action using the CM/ECF system which sent notice of such filing to the following:

Thomas A. Cregger (<u>tac@randolphlaw.net</u>) Attorney for Defendant

Stephanie Lynn Quinn (<u>slq@randolphlaw.net</u>) Attorney for Defendant

I hereby further certify that I have mailed the aforementioned document to the following non-CM/ECF participants by first class mail:

None

/s/ Steven L. Herrick